

App. F, IX-4

Previous References

2 1 1 1 1

1932.

1932.
(8112-)

File No. 24, --

Serial Nos. 111

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1, 1, 3, 1

Part B for

SUBJECT.

SUBJECT.

Relief filed by Mr. M. N. Wray, Advocate
in behalf of the said Mary Merchant, Regalene
regarding the time spent taken in the disposal
of the estate of the late Mrs. Mary Merchant, Regalene, deceased.

NO - 1578 26-11-70

to the Mortgagee (his, Advocate) regarding
inform him that the request of his Client
for the grant of the Land in question cannot be

File No.

216

of 1931.

Serial
No.

Petition of 874/32 filed by Mr. Narayana Rao Advocate Bangalore city on behalf of his Client Basappa Mandayappa residing at Akkipet - Bangalore city praying that steps so far taken about the disposal of the land referred to herein may be set aside and the land directed to be granted to the petitioner on payment of full Kandayam.

(1) Minutes of the first meeting on the P. U. A. :-

Please put up the connected papers Early -

(2) Order of the Secretary - "Today"

(3) Subd with the connected files as directed

Reference is invited to Mr's orders at pages 9 and 10

874 ~~MB~~ of May File 174 of 1930
9/4/32

(4) Returns for kind personal & others - The petn has evidently ^{stop} no case to urge.

88
9/4/32

(I member)

No stay

Case may be heard

1/15/32
at 12.15 on

6/20
11.12

NOTE-Contn Sheet.

informed - Records
need not be call - for
h 11/4

6
11/4

15/4/32

Memo
No. 1453 of 12.4.32 to Mr. M.
Narayana Rao, A. O. B. C. C. issued.
Memo No. 1454 of 12.4.32 to Mr. M. N. Rao
Bangalore C. C. issued

(a)

8 This case was heard on the 18th April 1932.

9 The Petitioner and his Lawyer Mr. Narain Rao
both
were present.

(c) The Petitioner prays that he is entitled to the
land as he has had adverse possession of it for a long
period. He has to prove such possession to support his
right to the land. His request for the grant of the
land cannot be complied with until he establishes his
adverse enjoyment of it for the required period.

6325
18/4

19/4/32

32

h

19/4

11/4

12/4/32

No 1555 of 26/4/32 to Mr. M. Narayana
Rao Advocate for the petitioner declining
the grant of the petitioner's request until

Serial
No.

he establishes his adverse enjoyment of the
land referred to therein.

No 1556 of 26/4/32 to the Muzras
Commissioner forwarding copy of the
above.

B
3/5

File No.

of 193

General and Re
Secretaria

--	--	--

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Memo No. 1454/2 Dated, Bangalore, 12 : 6 : 1932

The Amildar of Bangalore city Taluk
is directed to intimate to the parties whose names
are noted below that the Muzrai cases in which they
are interested will be heard by the First Member
of Council, at the Old Public Office Buildings,
Bangalore on Monday the 18th April 1932 at 12 noon
and that they should be present at the time of
hearing.

2. The result of action taken on this should
be reported to this office before the 15th April 1932
1932.

By Order,

11/4/32
For Secretary to Government,
Financial (Muzrai) Department.

Muz Mis Petⁿ no 18 of 31-32
Re: The disposal of nam
land at Kethammanahalli
of late TR formerly owned by
the Coorappa Trust - Bangalore city

Petⁿ :- Basappa - Mandi merchant
residing at Akkipur Bangalore city

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Immediate.

Memo No. 114564/3 Dated, Bangalore, 12.4 1932 -

The Amildar of Bangalore City ~~XXXX~~
party
is directed to intimate to the ~~parties~~ whose names
is noted below that the Muzrai cases in which ~~they~~ he
is interested will be heard by the First Member
of Council at the Old Public Office Buildings,
Bangalore on Monday, the 18th April 1932 at 12 Noon
and that ~~they~~ ^{he} should be present at the time of
hearing.


2. The result of action taken on this should
be reported to this office before the 15th April
1932 .

By Order,

A. Deveshwar
For Secretary to Government,
Financial (Muzrai) Department

Muzrai Mis. Petition No. 19 of 31-32
re: the disposal of Inam land at
Kethamaranahalli, Bangalore Taluk
formerly endowed to the Cottonpet
Dodda Matt-Bangalore City.


Petitioner :- Basettappa -Mandi merchant
. residing at Akkipet, Bangalore City.



immediate
Process served no. 11
immediate
for case served on
relation - *Amildar*

ಶಿವಾನು ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಬಸವಯ್ಯ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್
B. Ramabasaiah
Son of
Basettappa


13/4/32 -



no
15/4/32
SL 1174

15.4.32

15.4.32



Abdulla
15/4/32

Submitted to the Secretary to Government
Financial (merit) department
public offices Bangalore city.

mu. f. s.

15/4/32

Amildar



The case was heard on 18/4 & the party
was present - no further action is taken.

G-S

19/4

This may be placed file
in the connect to file
16/4
19/4

Dep
19/4



Before The Government Of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore.

Misc: Muz: Petn.No. 18 of 31-32.

Basettappa, Mandy
Merchant, residing
at Akkipet, Bangalore
City.

Petitioner.

Petitioner abovesaid most respectfully
and humbly begs to state as follows:-

1. In Muzrai Appeal No. 12 of 1930-31
on the file of this Hon'ble Court it has
been held that Survey No. 120, Kethamarnahalli,
Yesvantpur Hobli, Bangalore Taluq, has been
in unauthorised enjoyment of petitioner and
his predecessors. It is held further that
the said land was an Inam granted for the sup-
port of the Dodda Matt of Arlepet and is
ordered that inasmuch as the said Mutt is now
defunct the land be resumed and treated as a
case under Government Order No. 1769-72/Muzrai
49-28-2 dated 1-3-1929.

2. In pursuance of the foregoing order
the land aforesaid is notified for "Panchasalu
Gutta Sale" and the same is directed to take
place on 11th instant.

2.

3. Petitioner submits that he is yet in - possession of the land in question and that the same has not been actually obtained from him.

4. Petitioner is in any case entitled to six months' notice within which to do the needful.

5. Even though resumption of the land in question is directed, the question of its regrant to the petitioner is open and petitioner submits respectfully that under the Law he is - entitled to be continued as owner of the land in question for the following reasons:-

(a) the land is admittedly alienated by the original grantee as far back as 1849 under a Deed of Gift executed in favour of one Channaveera Devaru, who in ^{time} alienated it by Will dated 19-12-1877 and since - then has been in the possession of ^{alienees} and under the terms of Circular No. ^X266 dated 17-11-77 of the Chief Commissioner, petitioner is entitled to be continued in possession as owner. (Vide Proviso 1 to Section 10 of the Religious and Charitable Endowments Regulation);

(b) subsequent to 1849, the date of - Gift, as a matter of fact, the land has been enjoyed by others adversely to the purpose of the grant. In any case for upwards of twelve years before the Muzrai or Religious and - Charitable Endowments Regulation came into - force, such adverse enjoyment of the land is

3.

is admitted. In the circumstances the inam tenure may be cancelled and the land continued over to the petitioner as full kadayam paying land; (Vide Proviso 2 to Section 10 of the Religious and Charitable Endowments Regulation;

(c) further the Inam has been - granted as "Barhmadaya" as per terms of the Sannad. It is so treated in the - Muzrai Records. As a matter of fact it is not a Devadaya Inam. Even though - Channaveera Devaru said that he would hold the land for the Arlepet Dodda Mutt he did not do so, but alienated it as the original grantee did. Alienation - can be upheld in Law. The usufruct of the land in question, does not go to the General Muzrai Fund and what Government retained in such grants is the right to obtain full assessment in case of default even if a condition be assumed to be attached to the grant;

(d) for upwards of a period of 83 years the petitioner and his predecessors are in possession of the land which they have improved at great cost. Petitioner is entitled to special equities. He - begs that at an upset price of Rs.20/- per

4.

per acre the same may be granted to him
and full kadayam levied.

6. It is submitted that the proceedings
instituted and the sale advertised are opposed
to principles of Law and equity and the same -
may be set aside and the land directed to be -
granted to petitioner in accordance with Law.

It is also respectfully submitted that ~~the~~
an order may be issued staying the "Panchasal
Gutta" sale which is posted to 11-4-1932.

Bangalore, 8

5-4-1932.

8

Handwritten signature

Petitioner.

Munarayana Rao.

Advocate for petitioner.

₹ २.०० TWO RUPEES २००-२

In the

Court of

Before The Governor of the
The Maharaja.

No. *Misc. Mus.* ^{Pehlu no. . .} OF 1931 -1932 .

Baselappa

Vs.

I the *Pehlu* above named, do hereby nominate and appoint **Mr. M. NARAYANA RAO, M.A., B.L., Advocate**, in the above suit and do hereby empower the said **Advocate** to appear for and on *my* behalf in the above suit, to take all steps which may be necessary on *my* behalf arising out of or in connection with the above suit either during progress or after its decision, to sign and subscribe his name to any document which he may deem proper, to execute any decree that may be passed in *my* favour and to receive out of the Court any refund of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on *my* behalf and on receipt thereof to grant receipt or other sufficient discharges for the same, to withdraw, compromise or refer to arbitration the said suit, to appoint one or more substitutes and to act and perform all other matters and things relating thereto or connected therewith, to represent *me* in matters relating to the same and to act as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes as I might do if personally present and did the same. And I do hereby agree to pay all fees, costs, charges and expenses which may be incurred and to allow, ratify and confirm whatsoever the said **Advocate** shall lawfully do or cause or procure to be done by the virtue of these presents.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set *my* hand this 8th day of April 1932.

ACCEPTED.

Narayana Rao
for Pehlu

car. v. d. 17

8/4/32

Mut.

Advocate for *Pehlu*

Signed before me by the executant who is known to me.

SUBJECT

20/11/20
 Immigrate

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No 145-3/2

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

(1)

the

193 .

Mr. M. Narayana Rao - MA - M

Advocate - Represent
city.

Date of issue 12.6.32

Subiect: - Muz mis peⁿ x_p 18

531-32 of Bassalappa

Signed by A. N. Dewa Rao

Mandy Muehant residing

22 Archie. Bangalore 1901

Praying for the grand old

In an land at Kerkennak

8' Log Th to him for ^{an} ~~starting~~

upper price & far less of
full Kandyan.

List of enclosures

[Handwritten signature]

Copied by

how resp to ~~Direct~~ P_{eff}

87-1132 filed as ~~trial~~ ^{trial}

Examined by

~~on behalf of the government~~
re the above

Mr. M. Narayana Rao is informed

that no stay order can be issued

and that the case will be heard

on Monday the 18th inst. at 12 noon

~~before~~ the ³ ~~first~~ Members of Council,
in the old Public Office Buildings. The receipt of this may be
B.O. kindly acknowledged

11/4/32

Mr. S. C. Wilson

710/2

G.S.

11/4

Before the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

His-maj ^{Petⁿ} Appeal No. 18 of 31-32.

Appellant.

Vs.

Respondent

Petitioner.

N. Basappa

Merchant -
Alkibet. Bangalore city

Subject.

Prayer of the petitioner for the grant of Inam land
S. No 123 (Part) ^{formed and owned by him}
~~in~~ at Katakamashalei B'Core Taluk ~~of~~
of Aralepet Bangalore city. at an upset price of
Rs 20/- per Acre. ~~with~~ ^{the} free Kandayam levied -

This Case was heard on the 16th April 1932.

The petitioner and his Advocate Mr. M. Narayana
Reddy were both present.

Order.

The petitioner represents that he is entitled
to the land as he has had adverse possession
of it for a long period - He has to prove
such possession to support his right to the
land. His request for the grant of the land
can not be complied with until he establishes his.

adverse ~~pages~~
reg'd period.

Memo no 1555 / May 26-31-2
26-6-82
Copy to Mr. M. Narayana Rao

Advocate Bangalore City.

B. a

1556 were in See to have
26/4/32 - 11 o'clock

Copy to The M.C. with a copy of the report -

B. a

26/4/32 -

For See to have
11 o'clock

G.S. 10/10
19/7

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mis. Muzrai Petition No.18 of 31-32

Petitioner.- N. Basottappa, Mandy Morchant, Akkipet,
Bangalore City.

SUBJECT.

Prayer of the petitioner for the grant of Inam land S. No.123
(Part) ^{at} Kethamaranahalli, Bangalore Taluk, formerly endowed to
Doddamutt of Arlopet, Bangalore City.

This case was heard on the 18th April 1932. The petitioner
and his advocate Mr. ^M Narayana Rao were both present.

ORDER.

The petitioner represents that he is entitled to the land
as he has had adverse possession of it for a long ^e period. He
has to prove such possession to support his right to the land.
His request for the grant of the land cannot be complied with
until he establishes his adverse enjoyment of it for the
required period.

Memo No.

1555/Muz 246-31-2

Dated, Bangalore the 22nd April 1932.

Copy to Mr. M. Narayana Rao, Advocate, ^{26/4} Bangalore City.

By Order,


Financial Secretary to Government.
G.S.

Aga/4.

AG
19
3/5